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## PARLIAMENTARY STANDING COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

## 'RBI should bear responsibility to fix flaws with its oversight functions'

'Current banking sector crisis, which is transient, should not become alibi for privatisation of PSBs'

## SUNNY VERMA

NEW DELHI,SEPTEMBER 5
INTHE BACKDROP of the recent fraud at Punjab National Bank and stress in the banking sector, a Parliamentary panel has recommended that the Reserve Bank of India should beartheresponsibility to proactively fix the flaws in its oversight functions.
The Parliamentary Standing Committee on Finance, in its report on banking sector released Wednesday, made various suggestions to the RBI toresurrect the bleeding public sector banks which include relaxation in capital adequacy rules to free upexcesscapital asing of the Prompt cesscapitive easion (PCA) frame work separe work, sepilfuld faultersand those due to wif der and wheredeauns arebecause of ex traneous reasons, amongothers. The Committeeemphasised that the present banking sector crisis, which is transient, should notbecome an alibi for privatisation of publicsectorbanks(PSBs).

## 'Fix reasonable base price'

New Delhi: Considering the fact thatunduly large haircut have been suffered by the creditors in some cases, the Committee recommended fixing reasonable base price for any bidding so that large "haircuts" can be avoided in the course of the IBC process in National Company Law Tribunal.

With regard to the RBI mainaining that it doesn't have enough powers to regulate the state-owned banks, the Parliamentary panel suggested that the government should constitutea high-powered committee to evaluate the role, powers and authority of RBI in itsentirety, while also appraising the economic impact of the various NPA resolution norms/schemes formulated by RBI. The proposed Committee should look provi-

The Committee received suggestions that the floor price could be at 50 per cent or such other number the Committee of Creditors thinks appropriate. For assets where the bid price alls below the floor price, they could be taken over by an asset reconstruction company. ENS
sions of the RBI Act, Banking (Regulation)Act and other relevant statutes to ensure "the accountability of RBl as the regulator of the banking sector including the matter of having RBI nominees on the Boards of banks". The Parliamentary committee, chaired by M Veerappa Moily, also sought a review of whether the RBI has used its powers effectively in case of digressions by private banks ICIC Bank and HDFC.

In its submissions to the Parliamentary Committee, the RBI maintained that its "supervisory process does not constitute an audit of banks and does not seek to replace it" and with thenumberof commercial bank branches being more than 1.16 lakh in the country, it would be akn in country, it would be impossible to cover each and every branch of banks under the RBI s supervisory process. The RBI alsomaintained thatitdoesn'thave various powers withrespect to public sector banks, which it enjoys for regulating private banks. These include the power to remove chairman and managing director and to anpoint them call meeting of poinethem, call a meeting or directors of the bank concerned appointobservers, remove managerial and other persons from Diree, supersede the Board of Directors and make application for winding up and amalgamaion, among others.
The finance ministry listed out the numerous powers the RBI enjoys over PSBs. While asking the government to critically
examine these issues for em powering the RBI with respectto the PSBs, the Committee noted: "It also needs an objective ap praisal as to the extent to which RBI has been enforcing their assigned authority and power with respect to digressions by private banks such as ICICl and HDFCetc,"

Interestingly, in submission before the Committee, the Department of Financia Services Secretary Rajiv Kumar alsoargued that the governmen does not have powers to seek fraud details from the regulator
"There is also a problem with the Government and the Regulator all the powers of in spection of audit powers of incredit keeping the loand the creve $s$. 5 crore geting detail abovers. 5 crore, getting the reports on fraud is with the Regulator. It is not with the Government. Under the RBIAct the Government, under Section 45, cannoteven ask the details of an account because of the privacy issues involved." Kuma told the Parliamentary panel.

