## India-Nepal 'bridge' is still unshakeable



Nepal can be a bridge between India and China", declared
Nepalese
Prime Nepalese
Minister

Prime Mmister Khadga
 (the) wiviversally acknowledge official mouthpiece of the 'Chinese Communist Party) during his five-day state visit to Beijing. Mr Oli is axiomatically correct as his landlocked coun try (surrounded by othe major navipable waterways) is a seographical bridge between India and China. Hence it's no only a question of what 'Nepal can be", but what Nepal "is" owing to being an inalienable part of the geography, polity culture, history and civilisation of South Asia. And unless and until a catastrophic human folly comes into play in the future, it will continue to be so
But Mr Oli's words also need to be analysed in the light of cer Asia More so as his in south was made in China which of late has been actively pro pounding its intention to initiate a "trilateral" diplomatic means and methodology in South Asian affairs; especially in matters relating to India Hence, every word uttered by Mr Oli needs to be scrutinised thoroughly,
To start with, one sincerely hopes that Mr Oli has not been champlex Chinese plan of and complex Chinese plan of action ic dialogue. His dilemma, however, is understandable Sandwiched between the two great land masses of 2.7 billion
people (India and China), with access to just two waterfronts of Ocean Nepal faces anl the disad Ocean, Nepal faces all the cisad landlocked nations of the world face. This makes it imperative for Mr OH "to move from the state of a landlocked to a land linked country through devel opment of adequate cross-border connectivity
Nevertheless, the question arises - is there any dearth between India and Nepal? Has "landlock" Noml been handi capped by any Indian design? We have never come in the way of Nepalese movement, profes. sion, business and any other bona fide action in any way across this country; and we have always treated the Nepalese at par with Indians in all walks of life.
Under the landmark 1950 IndoNepal Treaty of Peace and Friendship, citizens or Nepa ment and liberty to live and work freely in India Citizens of no other country in the world enjoy this freedom, not even Pakistanis and Bangladeshis, who were earlier a part of India. As against that, Nepalese citizens need a passport and visa to visit China. Plus, they can neither work nor live in China permanently. Lets now see things There is no doubt that north of Nepal its remote neighbour China is not so remote any longer owing to its economies Yet, Nepal cannot be as open to China as it is to India simply because of the deep cultural religious and social links that
bind the people of the two countries, besides of course the open border that facilitates easy access. The 130 crore cittizens of ndia and Nepal are totally at ease with each other, moving unhindered, uninterrupted, years! Denged for thousanas of years! Does it have any parallel in the 21st century western world's growing xenophobia pertaining to mmigration, migration and nvasion, except for the which is borderless for about 40 crore people.
It is, therefore, clear that landlocked Nepal not only holds a ofty, unique and excentionally advantageous position in India but its quest for becoming a land-linked country through the development of adequate cross-border connectivity" is more than a matter of India's duty and responsibility for a Also Soria's policy pivot revolves around Nepal as one of the most effective and enterpris ing pylons of collective progress south of the Himalayas
In reality, with China as the world's second biggest economy of $\$ 11.226$ trilion in the north, and India, positioned seventh, with a $\$ 2,088$ trillion economy, in the south, Nepal can only thank iself a it nature s bounty wraph gave it a unique geo In a way Nepal should also thank its stars that it is not located north of the Himalayas to face the fate of a Xinjiang or Xizang (Tibet) at the hands of an army which is controlled and father than a democratically-
astablished polity like that of India.
Understandably, therefore, Nepal well realises the meaning, utility and importance of democracy of dissent and difference, debate, and discussion $y$-situated nations worldwide. That speaks volumes of 'the That speaks volumes of the of the of the people of Nepal. Indeed, the tracition or democracy in years. Remember the old ancient legacy of the democratic republic of the Lichchhavis of Vaishali in the 6th-7th century BC, which transcended the present Indo-Nepalese border? There was then the riverine connect between Pashupatinath and Vishwanath, through the Gomati, Gandak, Ghagra, Rapti and Koshi, emptying to the Ganga. And the majestic Himalayas for the common aims and objectives or the peorain spanning from the Indus to the Brahmaputra, and to the Mahanadi, Godavari, Krishna and Kaveri. It was, and still is, a normal, spontaneous and natural bond with or without agenda or polity, bridge or diplomacy. The same tradition exists even today as the unshakeable IndoNepal bilateral interaction continues as the natural bridge in South Asia as a geographical Himalayas, without any instigation or provocation by any third party.
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